arXiv:1411.6808v4 [math.GR] 29 Jan 2015

ON MAXIMAL TORI OF ALGEBRAIC GROUPS OF TYPE G_2

C. BELI, P. GILLE, AND T.-Y. LEE

Abstract. Given an octonion algebra C over a field k, its automorphism group is an algebraic semisimple k–group of type G_2. We study the maximal tori of G in terms of the algebra C.

Keywords: Octonions, tori, Galois cohomology, homogeneous spaces.

MSC 2000: 20G15, 17A75, 11E57, 20G41.

1. Introduction

For classical algebraic groups, and in particular for arithmetic fields, the investigation of maximal tori is an interesting topic in the theory of algebraic groups and arithmetic groups, see the papers of Prasad-Rapinchuk [PR1, §9], [PR2], and also Garibaldi-Rapinchuk [GR]. It is also related to the Galois cohomology of quasi-split semisimple groups by Steinberg's section theorem; that connection is an important ingredient of the paper.

Let k be a field, let k_s be a separable closure and denote by $\Gamma_k = \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ the absolute Galois group of k. In this paper, we study maximal tori of groups of type G_2. We recall that a semisimple algebraic k-group G of type G_2 is the group of automorphisms of a unique octonion algebra C [KMRT, 33.24]. We come now to the following invariant of maximal tori [G2, R]. Given a k–embedding of $i : T \to G$ of a rank two torus, we have a natural action of Γ_k on the root system $\Phi\big(G_{k_s}, i(T_{k_s})\big)$ and the yoga of twisted forms defines then a cohomology class $\mathbf{type}(T, i) \in H^1(k, W_0)$ which is called the type of the couple (T, i). Here $W_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$ is the Weyl group of the Chevalley group of type G_2. By Galois descent [KMRT, 29.9], a W_0-torsor is nothing but a couple (k', l) where k' (resp. l) is a quadratic (resp. cubic) étale k–algebra. The main problem is then the following: Given an octonion algebra C and such a couple (k', l), under which additional conditions is there a k–embedding $i : T \to G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ of type $[(k', l)] \in H^1(k, W_0)$?

We give a precise answer when the cubic extension l is not a field (§4.4). When l is a field, we use subgroups of type A_2 of G to relate with maximal tori of special unitary groups where we can apply results of Knus, Haile, Rost and Tignol [HKRT]. This provides indeed a criterion which is quite complicated (5.2.6).

Date: January 30, 2015.

C. Beli and P. Gille were supported by the Romanian IDEI project PCE_2012-4-364 of the Ministry of National Education CNCS-UEFISCIDI.

The problem above can be formulated in terms of existence of k–points for a certain homogeneous space X under G associated to k', l, see [Le, §1] or §2.6. We recall here Totaro's general question [To, question 0.2].

For smooth connected affine k–group H over the field k and a homogeneous G-variety Y such that Y has a zero-cycle of degree $d > 0$, does Y necessarily have a closed étale point of degree dividing d?

Starting with the Springer's odd extension theorem for quadratic forms, there are several cases where the question has a positive answer, mainly for principal homogeneous spaces (i.e. torsors). We quote here the results by Totaro [To, th. 5. 1] and Garibaldi-Hoffmann [GH] for certain exceptional groups, Black [B] for classical adjoint groups and Black-Parimala [BP] for semisimple simply connected classical groups of rank ≤ 2.

If the base field k is large enough (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, $\mathbb{Q}((t))$), we can construct an homogeneous space X under G of the shape above having a quadratic point and a cubic point but no k–point (Th. 4.5.3). This provides a new class of counterexamples to the question in the case $d = 1$ which are geometrically speaking simpler than those of Florence [Fl] and Parimala [Pa].

Finally, in case of a number field, we show that this kind of varieties satisfies the Hasse principle. In this case, our results are effective, that is we can describe the type of the maximal tori of a given group of type G_2, for example for the "compact" G_2 over the rational numbers (see Example 6.4).

Let us review the contents of the paper. In section 2, we recall the notion of type and oriented type for a k–embedding $i : T \to G$ of a maximal k–torus in a reductive k–group G. We study then the image of the map $H^1(k, T) \to H^1(k, G)$ of Galois cohomology and relate in the quasi-split case with Steinberg's theorem on Galois cohomology. Section 3 gathers basic facts on octonion algebras which are used in the core of the paper, namely sections 4 and 5. The number field case is considered in the short section 6. Finally, Appendix 7 deals with the Galois cohomology of of k-tori and quasi-split reductive k–groups over Laurent series fields.

A. Fiori investigated independently maximal tori of algebraic groups of type G_2 [Fi] and their rational conjugacy classes. Though his scope is different, certain tools are common with our paper, for example the definition and the study of the subgroup of type A_2 attached to a maximal torus (proposition 5.5 in [Fi], §5.1 here).

Acknowledgements. We express our thanks to Andrei Rapinchuk and to the referee for valuable comments. We thank Alexander Merkurjev for pointing us some application to Bruhat-Tits' theory (prop. 7.2).

2. Maximal tori of reductive groups and image of the cohomology

Let G be a reductive k–group. We are interested in maximal subtori of G and also in the images of the map $H^1(k,T) \to H^1(k,G)$. We shall discuss refinements of the application ot Steinberg's theorem on rational conjugacy classes to Galois cohomology.

2.1. **Twisted root data.**

2.1.1. *Definition.* In the papers [Le, 0.3.5] and [G3, 6.1] in the spirit of [SGA3], the notion of twisted root datas is defined over an arbitrary base scheme S. We focus here on the case of the base field k and use the equivalence of categories between étale sheaves over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and the category of Galois sets, namely sets equipped with a continuous action of the absolute Galois group Γ_k.

We recall from [Sp, 7.4] that a root datum is a quadruple $\Psi = (M, R, M^\vee, R^\vee)$ where M is a lattice, $M^\vee$ its dual, $R \subset M$ a finite subset (the roots) , $R^\vee$ a finite subset of $M^\vee$ (the coroots) and a bijection $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee$ of R onto $R^\vee$ which satisfy the next axioms (RD1) and (RD2).

For each $\alpha \in R$, we define endomorphisms s_α of M and $s_\alpha^\vee$ of $M^\vee$ by

$$s_\alpha(m) = m - \langle m, \alpha^\vee \rangle\, \alpha; \;\; s_\alpha^\vee(f) = f - \langle \alpha, f \rangle\, \alpha^\vee \quad (m \in M, f \in M^\vee).$$

(RD1) For each $\alpha \in R$, $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$;

(RD2) For each $\alpha \in R$, $s_\alpha(R) = R$ and $s_\alpha^\vee(R^\vee) = R^\vee$.

We denote by $W(\Psi)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ generated by the s_α, it is called the Weyl group of Ψ.

2.1.2. *Isomorphisms, orientation.* An isomorphism of root data $\Psi_1 = (M_1, R_1, M_1^\vee, R_1^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_2 = (M_2, R_2, {M_2}^\vee, {R_2}^\vee)$ consists in an isomorphism $f : M_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2$ such that f (resp. $f^\vee$) induces a bijection $R_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} R_2$ (resp. ${R_2}^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} R_1^\vee$). Let $\mathrm{Isom}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ be the scheme of isomorphisms between Ψ_1 and Ψ_2. We define the quotient $\mathrm{Isomext}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ by $\mathrm{Isomext}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = W(\Psi_2) \backslash \mathrm{Isom}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Isom}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)/W(\Psi_1)$.

An orientation u between Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 is an element $u \in \mathrm{Isomext}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$. We can then define the set $\mathrm{Isomint}_u(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ of inner automorphisms with respect to the orientation u as the preimage of u by the projection $\mathrm{Isom}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) \to \mathrm{Isomext}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\Psi) = \mathrm{Isom}(\Psi, \Psi)$ the group of automorphisms of the root datum Ψ and we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to W(\Psi) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi) \to \mathrm{Autext}(\Psi) \to 1$$

where $\mathrm{Autext}(\Psi) = \mathrm{Isomext}(\Psi, \Psi)$ stands for the quotient group of automorphisms of Ψ (called the group of exterior or outer automorphisms of Ψ). The choice of an ordering on the roots permits to define a set of positive roots Ψ_+, its basis and the Dynkin index $\mathrm{Dyn}(\Psi)$ of Ψ. Furthermore, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(\Psi, \Psi_+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Autext}(\Psi)$ so that the above sequence is split.

2.1.3. *Twisted version.* A twisted root datum is a root datum equipped with a continuous action of Γ_k. To distinguish with the absolute case, we shall use the notation $\underline{\Psi}$. The Weyl group $W(\underline{\Psi})$ is then a finite group equipped with an action of Γ_k. If $\underline{\Psi}_1$, $\underline{\Psi}_2$ are two twisted root data, the sets $\mathrm{Isom}(\underline{\Psi}_1, \underline{\Psi}_2)$, $\mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}_1, \underline{\Psi}_2)$ are Galois sets. An orientation between $\underline{\Psi}_1$, $\underline{\Psi}_2$ is an element $u \in \mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}_1, \underline{\Psi}_2)(k)$ and the set $\mathrm{Isomint}_u(\underline{\Psi}_1, \underline{\Psi}_2)$ is then a Galois set.

2.2. **Type of a maximal torus.** We denote by G_0 the split form of G. We denote by T_0 a maximal k-split torus of G_0 and by $\Psi_0 = \Psi(G_0, T_0)$ the associated root datum. We denote by W_0 the Weyl group of Φ_0 and by $\mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0)$ its automorphism group.

Let $i : T \to G$ be a k–embedding as a maximal torus. The root datum $\underline{\Psi}(G, i(T)) = \Psi(G(T)_{k_s}, i(T)_{k_s})$ is equipped with an action of the absolute Galois group Γ_k, so defines a twisted root datum. It is a k–form of the constant root datum $\underline{\Psi}_0$ and we define the type of (T, i) as the isomorphism class of

$$\big[\underline{\Psi}(G, i(T))\big] \in H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0)).$$

Recall that by Galois descent, those k_s/k–forms are classified by the Galois cohomology pointed set $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0))$.

If two embeddings i, j have the same image, then $\mathbf{type}(T, i) = \mathbf{type}(T, j) \in H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0))$. If we compose $i : T \to G$ by an automorphism $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)(k)$, we have $\mathbf{type}(T, i) = \mathbf{type}(T, f \circ i) \in H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0))$.

Remark 2.2.1. If G is semisimple and has no outer isomorphism (and it is the case for groups of type G_2), $W_0 = \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0)$ and the next considerations will not add anything.

We would like to have an invariant with value in the Galois cohomology of some Weyl group. The strategy is to "rigidify" by adding an extra data to $i : T \to G$, namely an orientation with respect to a quasi-split form of G.

Given a k–embedding $i : T \to G$, we denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G, i(T))$ the Dynkin diagram k–scheme of $\underline{\Psi}(G, i(T))$; it is finite étale and then encoded in the Galois set $\mathbf{Dyn}(G_{k_s}, i(T)_{k_s})$. There is a canonical isomorphism: $\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G, i(T))$. ([SGA3] Exp. 24, 3.3.)

We denote by G' a quasi-split k–form of G. Let (T', B') be a Killing couple of G' and denote by $\underline{\Psi}' = \underline{\Psi}(G', T')$ the associated twisted root datum, by $W' = N_{G'}(T')/T'$ its Weyl group which is a twisted constant finite k–group.

Suppose that G is semisimple simply connected or adjoint; in this case the homomorphism $\mathrm{Autext}(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{Dyn}(\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G))$ is an isomorphism [SGA3, XXIV.3.6]. We fix then an isomorphism $v : \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G') \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G)$. Together with the canonical isomorphism $\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G, i(T))$, it induces an isomorphism $\tilde{v} : \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G') \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G, i(T))$. For G semisimple simply connected or adjoint, the isomorphism $\tilde{v}$

defines equivalently an orientation

$$u \in \mathrm{Isomext}\Big(\underline{\Psi}(G',T'),\underline{\Psi}(G,i(T))\Big).$$

Then the Galois set $\mathrm{Isomint}_u\Big(\underline{\Psi}(G',T'),\underline{\Psi}(G,i(T))\Big)$ is a right W'–torsor and its class in $H^1(k,W')$ is called the oriented type of $i:T\to G$ with respect to the orientation v. It is denoted by $\mathbf{type}_v(T,i)$ and we bear in mind that it depends of the choice of G' and of v.

2.3. **The quasi-split case.** We deal here with the quasi-split k–group G' and with the exact sequence $1\to T'\to N_{G'}(T')\xrightarrow{\pi} W'\to 1$. Here we have a canonical isomorphism $id:\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G')\cong\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G')$ and then a natural way to define an orientation for a k–embedding $j:E\to G'$ of a maximal k–torus. Keeping the notations above, let us state the following result.

Theorem 2.3.1. *(Kottwitz)*

(1) The map $\mathrm{Ker}\Big(H^1(k,N_{G'}(T'))\to H^1(k,G')\Big)\xrightarrow{\pi_*}H^1(k,W')$ *is onto.*

(2) For each $\gamma\in H^1(k,W')$*, there exists a* k*–embedding* $j:E\to G'$ *of a maximal* k*–torus such that* $\mathbf{type}_{id}\big((E,j)\big)=\gamma$*.*

In Kottwitz's paper, the result occurs only as a result on embeddings of maximal tori [K, cor. 2]. It was rediscovered by Raghunathan [R] and independently by the second author [G2]. The proof of (1) uses Steinberg's theorem on rational conjugacy classes and we can explain quickly how one can derive (2) from (1). Given $\gamma\in H^1(k,W')$, assertion (1) provides a principal homogenous space P under $N'=N_{G'}(T')$ together with a trivialization $\phi:G'\xrightarrow{\sim}P\wedge^{N'}G'$ such that $\pi_*[P]=\gamma$. Then ϕ induces a trivialization at the level of twisted k-groups $\phi_*:G'\xrightarrow{\sim}{}^PG'$. Now if we twist $i':T'\to G'$ by P, we get a k–embedding ${}^Pi':{}^PT'\to{}^PG'\xleftarrow{\phi_*}{}_{\sim}G'$ and one checks that $\mathbf{type}_{id}\big({}^PT',{}^Pi'\big)=\gamma$.

2.4. **Image of the cohomology of tori.** We give now a slightly more precise form of Steinberg's theorem [St, th. 11.1], see also [Se, III.2.3].

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let* $[z]\in H^1(k,G')$*. Let* $i:T\to{}_zG'$ *be a maximal* k*–torus of the twisted* k*–group* ${}_zG'$*. Then there exists a* k*–embedding* $j:T\to G'$ *and* $[a]\in H^1(k,T)$ *such that* $j_*[a]=[z]$ *and such that* $\mathbf{type}_{can}(T,i)=\mathbf{type}_{id}(T,j)$*.*

In the result, the first orientation is the canonical one, namely arising from the canonical isomorphism $\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G')\xrightarrow{\sim}\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}\big({}_z(G')\big)$.

Proof. If the base field is finite, there is nothing to do since $H^1(k,G')=1$ by Lang's theorem. We can then assume than k is infinite. We denote by $P(z)$ the

G'–homogeneous space defined by z and by $\phi : G'_{k_s} \xrightarrow{\sim} P(z)_{k_s}$ a trivialization satisfying $z_\sigma = \phi^{-1} \circ \sigma(\phi)$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$. It induces a trivialization $\varphi : G'_{k_s} \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}_z(G'))_{k_s}$ satisfying $\mathrm{int}(z_\sigma) = \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma(\varphi)$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$.

We denote by $(G')^{sc}$ the simply connected cover of DG' and by $f : (G')^{sc} \to G'$ the natural k–homomorphism. Let T^{sc} be ${}_zf)^{-1}(i(T))$. Let g^{sc} be a regular element in $T^{sc}(k)$ and consider the $G'^{sc}(k_s)$–conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$ of $\varphi^{-1}(g^{sc})$ in $(G')^{sc}(k_s)$. This conjugacy class is rational in the sense that it is stabilized by Γ_k since $\big(\varphi^{-1}(g^{sc})\big) = z_\sigma \, {}^\sigma\big(\varphi^{-1}(g^{sc})\big) \, z_\sigma^{-1}$ for each $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$. According to Steinberg [St, 10.1] (and [BoS, 8.6] in the non perfect case), $\mathcal{C} \cap (G')^{sc}(k)$ is not empty, so that there exists $g_1^{sc} \in (G')^{sc}(k)$ and $h^{sc} \in (G')^{sc}(k_s)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(g^{sc}) = (h^{sc})^{-1} \, g_1^{sc} \, h^{sc}$. We put $g = {}_zf(g^{sc})$, $g_1 = f(g_1^{sc})$, $h = f(h^{sc})$, $T_1 = Z_{G'}(g_1)$ and $i_1 : T_1 \to G'$.

Since $g \in ({}_z(G'))(k)$ and $g_1 \in G'(k)$, we have $h^{-1} \, g_1 \, h = z_\sigma \, {}^\sigma(h^{-1} \, g_1 \, h) \, z_\sigma^{-1} = z_\sigma \, h^{-\sigma} \, g_1 \, {}^\sigma h \, z_\sigma^{-1}$ for each $s \in \Gamma_k$ whence

$$g_1 = a_\sigma \, g_1 \, a_\sigma^{-1}$$

where $a_\sigma = h \, z_\sigma \, h^{-\sigma}$ is a 1–cocycle cohomologous to z with values in $T_1(k_s) = Z_{G'}(g_1)(k_s)$. It remains to show the equality on the oriented types. By the rigidity trick (see proof of prop. 3.2 in [G2]), up to replace k by the function field of the T_1–torsor defined by a, we can assume that $[a] = 1 \in H^1(k, T_1)$. We write $a_\sigma = b^{-1} \, {}^\sigma b$ for some $b \in T_1(k_s)$ and we have then $z_\sigma = (bh)^{-1} \, {}^\sigma(bh)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(g) = (bh)^{-1} \, g_1 \, bh$.

Putting $h_2 = bh \in G'(k_s)$, we have then $z_\sigma = h_2^{-1} \, {}^\sigma h_2$ and $\varphi^{-1}(g) = h_2^{-1} \, g_1 \, h_2$. We get k–isomorphisms $\phi_2 = \phi \circ L_{h_2^{-1}} : G' \to P(z)$ and $\varphi_2 = \varphi \circ \mathrm{int}(h_2^{-1}) : G' \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_z(G')$, such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & G' \\ \wr \downarrow \varphi_2 & & \wr \downarrow \varphi_2 \\ T & \xrightarrow{i} & {}_z(G'). \end{array}$$

Thus $\mathbf{type}_{can}(T, i) = \mathbf{type}_{id}(T_1, i_1) \in H^1(k, W')$. □

2.5. **Image of the cohomology of tori, II.** We recall the following well-known fact.

Lemma 2.5.1. *Let H be a reductive k-group and T be a k–torus of the same rank as H. Let $i, j : T \to H$ be k–embeddings of a maximal k–torus T. If $j = \mathrm{Int}(h) \circ i$ for some $h \in H(k_s)$, then we have $h^{-1\sigma}h \in i(T)(k_s)$ for all σ in the absolute Galois group Γ_k.*

Proof. For any $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and any $t \in T(k_s)$, we have $j({}^\sigma t) = {}^\sigma h \cdot i({}^\sigma t) \cdot {}^\sigma h^{-1}$. Therefore, we have $j = \mathrm{Int}({}^\sigma h) \circ i = \mathrm{Int}(h) \circ i$ and $h^{-1} \, {}^\sigma h$ is a k_s-point of the centralizer $C_H(i(T)) = i(T)$ □

Lemma 2.5.2. *Let H be a reductive k-group and let T be a k–torus of the same rank as H. Let v be an orientation of H with respect to a quasi-split form H'. Let $i, j : T \to H$ be k–embeddings of a maximal k–torus T which are $H(k_s)$–conjugate. Then we have $\mathrm{Im}(i_*) = \mathrm{Im}(j_*) \subseteq H^1(k, H)$ and $\mathbf{type}_v(T, i) = \mathbf{type}_v(T, j)$.*

Proof. Let $j = \mathrm{Int}(h) \circ i$ for some $h \in H(k_s)$. By Lemma 2.5.1, we have $h^{-1\sigma}h \in i(T)(k_s)$. Let $[\alpha] \in \mathrm{Im}(j_*)$ and α be a cocycle with values in $j(T(k_s))$ which represents $[\alpha]$. Define $\beta_\sigma = h^{-1}\alpha_\sigma {}^\sigma h$. Then β is cohomologous to α and $\beta_\sigma = (h^{-1}\alpha_\sigma h) \cdot (h^{-1\sigma}h) \in i(T(k_s))$. Hence $[\alpha] = [\beta] \in \mathrm{Im}(i_*)$, which shows that $\mathrm{Im}(i_*) = \mathrm{Im}(j_*) \subseteq H^1(k, H)$.

Let $T_1 = i(T)$ and $T_2 = j(T)$. Let $\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ be the strict transporter from T_1 to T_2 ([SGA3], Exp. $\mathrm{VI_B}$, Def. 6.1 (ii)). Note that $\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ is a right $N_G(T_1)$-torsor. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2) \wedge \mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_2)).$$

Since $j = \mathrm{Int}(h) \circ i$, we have $h \in \mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)(k_s)$ and h defines a trivialization $\phi_h : N_G(T_1) \to \mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ which sends the neutral element to h. Let $W_1 = N_G(T_1)/T_1$. Since $\phi_h^{-1} \circ \sigma(\phi_h) = h^{-1}\,{}^\sigma h \in T_1(k_s)$, the image of the class of $\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ in $H^1(k, W_1)$ is trivial. Hence $\mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_1)) \simeq \mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_2))$, i.e. $\mathbf{type}_v(T, i) = \mathbf{type}_v(T, j)$. □

Proposition 2.5.3. *Let T be a k-torus of the same rank as G. Let $i_1, i_2 : T \to G$ be k–embeddings of T in G. Let v be an orientation of G with respect to a quasi-split form G'. If $\mathbf{type}_v(T, i_1) = \mathbf{type}_v(T, i_2) \in H^1(k, W')$, then there is a k–embedding $j : T \to G$ such that $j(T) = i_1(T)$ and j, i_2 are $G(k_s)$-conjugate. In particular, the images of $i_{1,*}$, $i_{2,*}$, $j : H^1(k, T) \to H^1(k, G)$ coincide.*

Proof. Let $T_1 = i_1(T)$ and $T_2 = i_2(T)$ and again put $W_i = N_G(T_i)/T_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let η denote the class of the $N_G(T_1)$–torsor $\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ in $H^1(k, N_G(T_1))$ and $\overline{\eta}$ be the image of η in $H^1(k, W_1)$. We have a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2) \wedge \mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_2))$. Since $\mathbf{type}_v(T, i_1) = \mathbf{type}_v(T, i_2)$, we have $\mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_1)) \simeq \mathrm{Isomint}_v(\underline{\Psi}', \underline{\Psi}(G, T_2))$, hence $\overline{\eta}$ is the trivial class in $H^1(k, W_1)$. Therefore the $N_G(T_1)$-torsor $\mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ admits a reduction to T_1. More precisely, there exist a T_1–torsor E_1 and an isomorphism $E_1 \wedge^{T_1} N_G(T_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2)$ of $N_G(T_1)$–torsors. We take a point $e_1 \in E_1(k_s)$ and consider its image g in $G(k_s)$ under the mapping $E_1 \wedge^{T_1} N_G(T_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Transpt}_G(T_1, T_2) \hookrightarrow G$. Then $g^{-1}\,{}^\sigma g$ is a k_s-point of the centralizer $C_G(T_1) = T_1$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$. We define a k-embedding $j : T \to G$ as $j(t) = (\mathrm{Int}(g^{-1}) \circ i_2)(t)$. To see that j is indeed defined

over k, we check as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} j({}^{\sigma}t) &= (\mathrm{Int}(g^{-1}) \circ i_2)({}^{\sigma}t) \\ &= \mathrm{Int}(g^{-1})({}^{\sigma}i_2(t)) \\ &= h \cdot {}^{\sigma}((\mathrm{Int}(g^{-1}) \circ i_2)(t)) \cdot h^{-1} \\ &= {}^{\sigma}(j(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By our construction, we have $j(T) = i_1(T)$ and i_2, j are conjugated. Let $f = (j|_{T_1})^{-1} \circ i_1$. Then f is an automorphism of T and $i_1 = j \circ f$. Hence the images of $i_{1,*}$ and j_* coincide. By Lemma 2.5.2, the images of j and $i_{2,*}$ coincide. □

This applies to the quasi-split case and enables us to slightly refine Theorem 2.4.1.

Corollary 2.5.4. *With the notations of Theorem 2.4.1, choose (by Theorem 2.3.1) for each class $\gamma \in H^1(k, W')$ a k–embedding $i(\gamma) : E(\gamma) \to G'$ of oriented type γ. Then the map*

$$\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in H^1(k,W')} H^1(k, E(\gamma)) \xrightarrow{\sqcup i(\gamma)_*} H^1(k, G')$$

is onto.

2.6. **Varieties of embedding k–tori.** Let T be a k-torus and $\underline{\Psi}$ be a twisted root datum of Ψ_0 attached to T, i.e. the character group of T is isomorphic to the character group encoded in Ψ. In this section, we will define a k-variety X such that the existence of a k-point of X is equivalent to the existence of a k-embedding of T into G with respect to $\underline{\Psi}$.

We start with a functor. The *embedding functor* $\mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi})$ is defined as follows: for any k-algebra C,

$$\mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi})(C) = \left\{ f : T_C \hookrightarrow G_C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is both a closed immersion and a group} \\ \text{homomorphism which induces an isomorphism} \\ f^{\Psi} : \underline{\Psi}_C \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\Psi}(G_C, f(T_C)) \text{ such that} \\ f^{\Psi}(\alpha) = \alpha \circ f^{-1}|_{f(T_{C'})} \text{ is in } \underline{\Psi}(G_{C'}, f(T_{C'})), \\ \text{for all } C'\text{-roots } \alpha, \text{ for all } C\text{-algebra } C'. \end{array} \right\}.$$

In fact, the functor $\mathcal{E}(\underline{\Psi}, G)$ is representable by a k-scheme ([Le] Theorem 1.1). Define the Galois set $\mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}, G)$ by $\mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}, G) = \mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}, \underline{\Psi}(G, E))$ where E stands for an arbitrary maximal k–torus of G. Given an orientation $v \in \mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}, G)(k)$, we define the *oriented embedding functor* as follows: for any k-algebra C,

$$\mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi}, v)(C) = \left\{ f : T_C \hookrightarrow G_C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} f \in \mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi})(C), \text{ and the image of } f^{\Psi} \\ \text{in } \mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}, G)(C) \text{ is } v. \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have the following result:

Theorem 2.6.1. *In the sense of the étale topology, $\mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi}, v)$ is a left homogeneous space under the adjoint action of G, and a torsor over the variety of the maximal tori of G under the right $W(\underline{\Psi})$-action. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi}, v)$ is representable by an affine k-scheme.*

Proof. We refer to Theorem 1.6 of [Le]. □

Remark 2.6.2. The definition of varieties of embeddings is quite abstract but is simplified a lot if there is a k–embedding $i : T \to G$ of oriented type isomorphic to $(\underline{\Psi}, v)$. Indeed in this case, the k–variety $\mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi}, v)$ is G–isomorphic to the homogeneous space $G/i(T)$ and we observe that the map $G/i(T) \to G/N_G(i(T))$ is a $W_G(i(T))$–torsor over the variety of maximal tori of G.

Remark 2.6.3. We sketch another way to prove Theorem 2.4.1. With the notations of that result, let $z \in Z^1(k, G')$ and put $G = {}_zG'$. Let T be a maximal k–torus of G and consider the twisted root data $\underline{\Psi} = \underline{\Psi}(G, T)$ attached to T. Let v be the canonical element in $\mathrm{Isomext}(\underline{\Psi}, G)(k)$ and let $v' = c \circ v$, where $c \in \mathrm{Isomext}(G, G')(k)$ corresponds to the canonical orientation $\underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Dyn}}(G')$. We denote by X (resp. X') the k–variety of oriented embeddings of T in G (resp. G') with respect to $\underline{\Psi}$ and v (resp. v'). Note that G' acts on X' and we have a natural isomorphism $X \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_zX'$. Theorem 2.3.1.(2) shows that $X'(k) \neq \emptyset$ and the choice of a k–point x' of X' defines a G'–equivariant isomorphism $G'/T \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$. In the other hand, the embedding i defines a k–point $x \in X(k)$. Since $X \cong {}_zX'$, we have that ${}_z(G'/T)(k) \neq \emptyset$, hence the class $[z] \in H^1(k, G)$ admits a reduction to $i' : T \hookrightarrow G'$ such that $\mathbf{type}_{can}(T, i) = \mathbf{type}_{id}(T, i') \in H^1(k, W')$.

3. Generalities on octonion algebras

Let C be an octonion algebra. We denote by G the automorphism group of C, it is a semisimple k–group of type G_2. We denote by N_C the norm of C, it is 3-fold Pfister form. In particular, N_C is hyperbolic (equivalently isotropic) iff G is split (equivalently isotropic).

3.1. **Behaviour under field extensions.** If l/k is a field extension of odd degree, the Springer odd extension theorem [EKM, 18.5] implies that C is split if and only if C_l is split. More generally, we have the following criterion.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $(k_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$ be a family of finite field extensions such that is g.c.d.$([k_j : k])$ is odd. Then C is split if and only if C_{k_j} is split for $j = 1, ..., n$.* □

Proof. The direct sense is obvious. Conversely, assume that C_{k_j} is split for $j = 1, ..., n$. Then there exists an index j such that $[k_j : k]$ is odd, hence C splits. □

Remark 3.1.2. This is a special case of the following more general result by Garibaldi-Hoffmann [GH, th. 0.3] answering positively Totaro's question. Let $(k_j)_{j=1,...,n}$ be a family of finite field extensions and put $d = g.c.d.([k_j : k])$. Let C, C' be Cayley k–algebras such that C_{k_j} and C'_{k_j} are isomorphic for $j = 1, ..., n$, then there exists a separable finite field extension K/k of degree dividing d such that C_K is isomorphic to C'_K. This is the case of groups of type G_2 in that theorem which includes also the case of certains groups of type F_4 and E_6.

We recall also the behaviour with respect to quadratic étale algebras.

Lemma 3.1.3. *Let k'/k be a quadratic étale algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $C \otimes_k k'$ splits;

(ii) There is an isometry $(k', n_{k'/k}) \to (C, N_C)$ where $n_{k'/k} : k' \to k$ stands for the norm map;

(iii) There exists a embedding of unital composition k–algebra $k' \to C$.

Proof. If C is split, all three facts hold so that we can assume that C is not split.

$(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$: Since C is not split, il follows that k' is a field. Since N_C is split over k', there exists a non-trivial and non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B such that $B \otimes n_{k'/k}$ is a subform of N_C [EKM, 34.8]. Since N_C is multiplicative, there is an isometry $(k', n_{k'/k}) \to (C, N_C)$.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$: Since the orthogonal group $O(N_C)(k)$ acts transitively on the sphere $\{x \in C \mid N_C(x) = 1\}$, we can assume that our isometry $(k', n_{k'/k}) \to (C, N_C)$ maps $1_{k'}$ to 1_C. It is then a map of unital composition k–algebras.

$(iii) \Longrightarrow (i)$: If $k' = k \times k$, then N_C is isotropic and C is split. Hence k' is a field and N_C is k'–isotropic so that $C_{k'}$ is split. □

3.2. **Cayley-Dickson process.** We know that C, up to k–isomorphism, can be obtained by the Cayley-Dickson doubling process, that is $C \cong C(Q, c) = Q \oplus Qa$ where Q is a k-quaternion algebra and $c \in k^\times$ [SV, 1.5]. We denote by $\sigma_Q = \mathrm{trd}_Q - id_Q$ the canonical involution of Q and recall that the multiplicativity rule on C (resp. the norm N_C, the canonical involution σ_C) is given by

$$(x + ya)(u + va) = (xu + c\sigma_Q(v)y) + (vx + y\sigma_Q(u))a \quad (x, y, u, v \in Q);$$
$$N(x + ya) = N(x) - cN(y),$$
$$\sigma_C(x + ya) = \sigma_Q(x) - ya.$$

Then N_C is isometric to the 3-Pfister form $n_Q \otimes \langle 1, -c\rangle$ and that form determines the octonion algebra [SV, 1.7.3]. Also it provides an embedding j of the k-group $H(Q) = \big(\mathrm{SL}_1(Q) \times_k \mathrm{SL}_1(Q)\big)/\mu_2$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(C(Q, c))$. This map is given by $(g_1, g_2).(q_1, q_2) = (g_1\, q_1\, g_1^{-1}, g_2\, q_2\, g_1^{-1})$. Another corollary of the determination of an octonion algebra by its norm is the following well-known fact.

Corollary 3.2.1. *Let C be a octonion k–algebra and let Q be a quaternion algebra. Then the following are equivalent*

(i) There exists $c \in k^\times$ such that $C \cong C(Q, c)$;

(ii) There exists an isometry $(Q, N_Q) \to (C, N_C)$.

Proof. $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ is obvious. Assume that exists an isometry $(Q, N_Q) \to (C, N_C)$. By the linkage propery of Pfister forms [EKM, 24.1.(1)], there exists a bilinear 1–Pfister form ϕ such that $N_C \cong N_Q \otimes \phi$. Since N_C represents 1, we can assume that ϕ represents 1 so that $\phi \cong \langle 1, -c\rangle$. Therefore C and $C(Q, c)$ have isometric norms and are isomorphic. □

Remark 3.2.2. In odd characteristic, Hooda provided an alternative proof, see [H, th. 4.3] and also a nice generalization (*ibid*, prop. 4.2).

Lemma 3.2.3. *Let C be a non-split octonion k–algebra. If $D \subseteq C$ is a unital composition subalgebra and $u \in C \setminus D$ then $D \oplus Du$ is a unital composition subalgebra as well.*

Proof. Since C is non-split the corresponding norm map N_C is anisotropic. Let b_C be the polar map of N_C. Since the map $x \mapsto b_C(u, x)$ is linear and the restriction of b_C on $D \times D$ is regular, there is $v \in D$ such that $b_C(v, x) = b_C(u, x)\ \forall x \in D$. Let $u' = u - v$. We have $b_C(u', x) = b_C(v, x) - b_C(u, x) = 0\ \forall x \in D$ so $u' \in D^\perp$. Since $v \in D$, $u \notin D$ we have $u' \neq 0$ so $N_C(u') \neq 0$. By the doubling process [SV, Proposition 1.5.1] we have that $D \oplus Du'$ is a unital composition subalgebra of C. But $u' = u - v$ and $v \in D$ so $D \oplus Du' = D \oplus Du$. □

3.3. **On the dihedral group, I.** In this case $W_0 = \mathrm{Aut}(\Psi_0)$ and $W_0 = D_6 = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = C_2 \times S_3$ is the dihedral group of order 12. More precisely $C_2 = \langle c\rangle$ stands for its center. The right way to see it is by its action on the root system $\Psi(G_0, T_0) \subset \widehat{T}_0 = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2 = \mathbb{Z}^2$ as provided by the following picture



where α_1, α_2 stand for a base of the root system G_2 and $\widetilde{\alpha} = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$.

Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^3$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{Q}^3$. We can view the root space of G_2 as the hyperplane in $\mathbb{Q}^3$ defined by $\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^3 \xi_i\varepsilon_i \,|\, \sum_{i=1}^3 \xi_i = 0\Big\}$, and identify α_1, α_2 with $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ and $-2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$ respectivel ([Bou] Planche IX). For a root α, let s_α be the reflection

orthogonal to α. Under the above identification, the element $c = s_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_2}$ acts on the roots by $-id$ and $S_3 = \langle s_{\alpha_1}, s_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\rangle$ acts by permuting the ε_i's. Note that although $s_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_2}$ acts on the subspace $\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\xi_i\varepsilon_i \,|\, \sum_{i=1}^{3}\xi_i = 0\right\}$ by $-id$, $s_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_2}$ does not act as $-id$ on $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^3$.

Also we observe that $\widehat{T_0}$ is a sublattice of index 2 of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\alpha_1}{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{2}$. It is related to the fact that the morphism $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G_0$ defined by the coroots $\alpha_1^\vee$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^\vee$ has kernel the diagonal subgroup μ_2.

Remarks 3.3.1. (a) In the G_2 root system, for any long root β and any short root α orthogonal to β, we have $s_\alpha \circ s_\beta = c$. Also we observe that $\widehat{T_0}$ is a sublattice of index 2 of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\alpha}{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{\beta}{2}$. It is related to the fact that the morphism $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G_0$ defined by the coroots $\alpha^\vee$ and $\beta^\vee$ has kernel the diagonal subgroup μ_2.
(b) The roots α_1, $\widetilde{\alpha}$ generate a closed symmetric subsystem of type $A_1 \times A_1$ of G_2. Any subroot system (not necessarily close) of G_2 which is of type $A_1 \times A_1$ is a W_0-conjugate of the previous one.

3.4. **Subgroups of type $A_1 \times A_1$.** Given an octonion k-algebra C, we relate the Cayley-Dickson's decomposition with subgroups of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$.

Lemma 3.4.1. *Let H be a semisimple k–subgroup of G of type $A_1 \times A_1$. Then there exists a quaternion algebra Q, $c \in k^\times$, an isomorphism $C \cong C(Q, c)$ and an isomorphism $H \xrightarrow{\sim} H(Q)$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \hookrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow\wr & & \downarrow\wr \\ H(Q) & \xhookrightarrow{j} & \mathrm{Aut}(C(Q,c)). \end{array}$$

Proof. We start with a few observations on the split case $G = G_0 = \mathrm{Aut}(C_0)$ where we have the k–subgroup $H_0 = (\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2)/\mu_2$ acting on C_0. The root subsystem $\Phi(H_0, T_0)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{\alpha}$ so that the first (resp. the second) factor SL_2 of H_0 corresponds to a short (resp. long) root. We denote by $H_{0,<} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2$ (resp. $H_{0,>}$) the "short" subgroup (resp. the "long" one) of H_0. Taking the decomposition $C_0 = M_2(k) \oplus M_2(k)_\sharp$, the point is that we have $M_2(k) = (C_0)^{H_{0,>}}$. In other words, we can recover the composition subalgebra $M_2(k)$ of C_0 from H_0.

We come now to our problem. We are given a k–subgroup H of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ of type $A_1 \times A_1$. Let T be a maximal k–torus of H. Then the root system $\Phi(H_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$ is a subsystem of $\Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s}) \cong \Psi_0$ of type $A_1 \times A_1$ hence W_0–conjugated to the standard one (Remark 3.3.1.(b)). Since the Galois action preserves the length of a root, it follows that we can define by Galois descent the k–subgroups $H_<$ and $H_>$ of H. We define then $Q = (C)^{H_>}$. By Galois descent, it is a quaternion subalgebra of C which is normalized by H. It leads to a Cayley-Dickson decomposition $C = Q \oplus L$ where L is the orthogonal complement of Q in C. Then L is a right Q–module and

we choose $a \in L$ such that $L = Qa$. The k–subgroup $H(Q)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is nothing but $\mathrm{Aut}(C, Q)$ [SV, §2.1], so we have $H \subseteq H(Q)$. For dimension reasons, we conclude that $H = H(Q)$ as desired. □

4. Embedding a torus in a group of type G_2

We assume that G is a semisimple k–group of type G_2. As before in section 2, we denote by G_0 its split form; T_0, W_0, etc...

4.1. **On the dihedral group, II.** We continue to discuss the action of the dihedral group W_0 (of order 12) on the root system of type G_2 started in §3.3. Let $\overset{3}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ be a W_0-lattice, where the S_3-component of W_0 acts by permuting the ϵ_i's and the center acts by $-id$. Note that G_0 is of type G_2, so G_0 is both adjoint and simply connected and the dual group of G_0 is isomorphic to G_0 itself. Hence we have the following exact sequence of W_0-lattices, where W_0 acts on $\mathbb{Z}$ through its center $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $-id$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{T}_0 \xrightarrow{f} \overset{3}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i \xrightarrow{deg} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \ ,$$

where $f(\alpha_1) = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ and $f(\alpha_2) = -2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3$. We also consider its dual sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \overset{3}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i^\vee \longrightarrow \widehat{T}_0^\vee \simeq \widehat{T}_0 \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

4.2. **Subtori.** Keep the notations in Section 3.3. Let us fix an isomorphism

$$\chi : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3 \to \langle c \rangle \times \langle s_{\alpha_1}, s_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \rangle = W_0,$$

where $\chi((-1, 1)) = c$, $\chi((1, (12))) = s_{\alpha_1}$ and $\chi((1, (23))) = s_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$.

We identify $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$ with W_0 by χ in the rest of this paper. Under this identification, we have

$$H^1(k, W_0) = H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(k, S_3).$$

Hence a class of $H^1(k, W_0)$ is represented uniquely (up to k–isomorphism) by a couple (k', l) where k' is a quadratic étale algebra of k and l/k is a cubic étale algebra of k.

Given such a couple (k', l), we denote by $\underline{\Psi}_{(k',l)} = [(k', l)] \wedge^{W_0} \Psi_0$ the associated twisted root datum. Let $l' = l \otimes_k k'$ and define the k–torus

$$T^{(k',l)} = \mathrm{Ker}\Big(R_{k'/k}\big(R^1_{l'/k'}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l'})\big) \xrightarrow{N_{k'/k}} R^1_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l}) \Big).$$

In the following, we prove that the torus encoded in $\underline{\Psi}_{(k',l)}$ is indeed $T^{(k',l)}$. However, we should keep in mind that two non-isomorphic root data $\underline{\Psi}$ may encode the same torus (Remark 4.2.2).

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let T be a k–torus of rank two and let $i : T \to G$ be a k-embedding such that* $\mathbf{type}(T, i) = \big[(k', l)\big]$.

(1) The k–torus T is k–isomorphic to $T^{(k',l)}$.

(2) If there exists a quadratic étale algebra l_2 such that $l = k \times l_2$, then there is a k–isomorphism

$$T \cong \Big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_k R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2$$

where k_1, k_2 are quadratic étale algebras such that $k_2 = k'$ and $[k_1] = [k_2] + [l_2] \in H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. (1) We have $W_0 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$ and from the subsection 3.3, we have a W_0–resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \overset{3}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i^\vee \longrightarrow \widehat{T}_0 \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

It follows that $\widehat{T}_0$ is isomorphic to the W_0-module $\overset{3}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i^\vee/\langle(1,1,1)\rangle$. Let N be the W_0-lattice $\overset{3}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}e_i/\langle(1,1,1)\rangle$ where S_3 acts by permuting the indices and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially. Note that as $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices, we can identify N with $\widehat{T}_0$. Let $M = N \oplus N$ and equip M with a W_0-action: S_3 acts on N diagonally and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on M by exchanging the two copies of N. Embed N diagonally into M and we get the following exact sequence of W_0-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\ f\ } M = N \oplus N \xrightarrow{\ g\ } \widehat{T}_0 \longrightarrow 0 \ ,$$

where $f(x) = (x, x)$ and $g(x, y) = x - y$. After twisting the above exact sequence by the W_0–torsor attached to (k', l) and taking the corresponding tori we have the following:

$$1 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow R_{k'/k}\big(R^1_{l'/k'}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l'})\big) \xrightarrow{\ n_{k'/k}\ } R^1_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l}) \longrightarrow 1 \ .$$

Hence T is nothing but the k–torus $T^{(k',l)}$.

(2) If $l = k \times l_2$, then there is an injective homomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to S_3$ and a class $[z] \in \mathrm{im}(\iota_* : H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(k, S_3))$ such that l corresponds to $[z]$. Let α be a short root such that the corresponding reflection s_α is $\iota(-1)$, and let β be a long root orthogonal to α. As we mentioned in Remark 3.3.1.(a), the center of W_0 is generated by $s_\alpha \circ s_\beta$. Therefore, the image of the map

$$\mathrm{Id} \times \iota : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3 = W_0$$

is generated by $\{s_\alpha,\ s_\beta\}$. Let us call it $W^{(k',l_2)}$. Let $H_0 \simeq \big(\mathrm{SL}_2 \times_k \mathrm{SL}_2\big)/\mu_2$ be the subgroup of G_0 generated by T_0 and the root groups associated to $\pm\alpha$ and $\pm\beta$. Then H_0 is of type $A_1 \times A_1$ and the Weyl group of H_0 with respect to T_0 is exactly $W^{(k',l_2)}$. Hence there is $[x] \in \mathrm{im}(H^1(k, N_{H_0}(T_0)) \to H^1(k, G_0))$ such that $(G, i(T))$ is

isomorphic to ${}_x(G_0, T_0)$. Moreover, the embedding i factorizes through $H = {}_x(H_0)$. Let the first (resp. second) copy of SL_2 of H_0 correspond to the root group $\pm\beta$ (resp. $\pm\alpha$). Let π be the projection from $N_{H_0}(T_0)$ to $N_{H_0}(T_0)/T_0 = W^{(k',l_2)}$. Since $([k'],[l_2]) \in H^1(k,\langle s_\beta \circ s_\alpha\rangle) \times H^1(k,\langle s_\alpha\rangle) = H^1(k, W^{(k',l_2)})$ is equal to $([k'],[k']+[l_2]) \in H^1(k,\langle s_\beta\rangle) \times H^1(k,\langle s_\alpha\rangle) = H^1(k, W^{(k',l_2)})$. Under the above identification, we have $\pi_*([x]) = \big([k'] + [l_2], [k']\big) \in H^1(k,\langle s_\alpha\rangle) \times H^1(k,\langle s_\beta\rangle)$. Therefore we have

$$T \simeq {}_x(T_0) \cong \Big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_k R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2,$$

where $[k_2] = k'$ and $[k_1] = [k_2] + [l_2]$. □

Remark 4.2.2. A natural question is whether the class of $[(k',l)]$ is determined by the isomorphism class of the torus $T^{(k',l)}$ as k–torus. It is not the case, there are indeed examples of non equivalent pairs (k',l) and $(k'_\sharp, l_\sharp)$ such that the k–tori $T^{(k',l)}$ and $T^{(k'_\sharp,l_\sharp)}$ are isomorphic whenever the field k admits a biquadratic field extension $k_1 \otimes_k k_2$. We put then $k_{1,\sharp} = k_2$ and $k_{2,\sharp} = k_1$. With the notations of the proof of Lemma 4.2.1.(2), we consider the k–tori $T = \Big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_k R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2$ and $T_\sharp = \Big(R^1_{k_{1,\sharp}/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_k R^1_{k_{2,\sharp}/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2$. Then the k–tori T and $T_\sharp$ are obviously k–isomorphic. However, the root data $\underline{\Psi}_{(k',l)}$ and $\underline{\Psi}_{(k'_\sharp,l_\sharp)}$ are not isomorphic as $k_2 \ncong k_{2,\sharp} = k_1$.

Since the pointed set $H^1(k, \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ classifies two dimensonal k–tori, the map $H^1(k, W_0) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ is in this case not injective. It is due to the fact that the normalizer of $C_2 \times (1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is larger than the normalizer in W_0.

We deal now with the Galois cohomology of those tori.

Lemma 4.2.3. *(1) We have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \ker\big(l^\times \to k^\times\big)/N_{l'/l}\Big(\ker\big((l')^\times \xrightarrow{n_{l'/k'}} (k')^\times\big)\Big) \to H^1(k, T^{(k',l)}) \to$$
$$(k')^\times/N_{l'/k'}\big((l')^\times\big) \xrightarrow{n_{k'/k}} k^\times/N_{l/k}(l^\times) \to 0$$

and the map $n_{k'/k}$ admits a section.

(2) Assume that k' and l are fields. Then $H^1\big(k, \widehat{(T^{(k',l)})}^0\big) = 0$.

Proof. We put $T = T^{(k',l)}$.

(1) The Hilbert 90 theorem produces an isomorphism $k^\times/N_{l/k}(l^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\big(k, R^1_{l/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l})\big)$. Combined with the Shapiro isomorphism, we get an isomorphism $(k')^\times/N_{l'/k'}(l'^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\big(k', R^1_{l'/k'}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l'})\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\big(k, R_{k'/k}\big(R^1_{l'/k'}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l'})\big)\big)$. By putting these two facts together, the long exact sequence of Galois cohomology reads

$$\cdots \to \ker\big((l')^\times \to (k')^\times\big) \xrightarrow{N_{l'/l}} \ker\big(l^\times \to k^\times\big) \to$$

$$H^1(k,T) \to (k')^\times/N_{l'/k'}\big((l')^\times\big) \xrightarrow{n_{k'/k}} k^\times/N_{l/k}(l^\times) \to \dots$$

Since $k^\times/N_{l/k}(l^\times)$ is of 3–torsion, half of the "diagonal map" $k^\times/N_{l/k}(l^\times) \to (k')^\times/N_{l'/k'}\big((l')^\times\big)$ provides a section of $(k')^\times/N_{l'/k'}\big((l')^\times\big) \xrightarrow{n_{k'/k}} k^\times/N_{l/k}(l^\times)$.

(2) We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \widehat{T}^0 \to \mathrm{Coind}_k^{k'}(I_{l'/k'}) \xrightarrow{n_{k'/k}} I_{l/k} \to 0$$

of Galois modules where $I_{l/k} = \mathrm{Ker}[\mathrm{Coind}_k^l(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}]$. It gives rise to the long exact sequence of groups

$$0 \to H^0(k,\widehat{T}^0) \to H^0\big(k,\mathrm{Coind}_k^{k'}(I_{l'/k'})\big) \to H^0(k,I_{l/k}) \to \dots$$

$$H^1(k,\widehat{T}^0) \to H^1\big(k,\mathrm{Coind}_k^{k'}(I_{l'/k'})\big) \to H^1(k,I_{l/k}) \to \dots$$

We consider the exact sequence $0 \to I_{l/k} \to \mathrm{Coind}_k^l(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ and the corresponding sequence

$$0 \to H^0(k,I_{l/k}) \to H^0\big(k,\mathrm{Coind}_k^l(\mathbb{Z})\big) \to H^0(k,\mathbb{Z}) \to \dots$$

$$\to H^1(k,I_{l/k}) \to H^1\big(k,\mathrm{Coind}_k^l(\mathbb{Z})\big) \to H^1(k,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The group $\mathbb{Z} = H^0\big(k,\mathrm{Coind}_k^l(\mathbb{Z})\big)$ embeds in $\mathbb{Z}$ by multiplication by 3; also we have $H^1(k,\mathrm{Coind}_k^l(\mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(l,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by Shapiro's isomorphism. The above sequence induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\big(k,I_{l/k}\big)$. On the other hand, we have $H^1\Big(k,\mathrm{Ind}_k^{k'}(I_{l'/k'})\Big) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k',I_{l'/k'}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. The norm map $n_{k'/k} : H^1\big(\mathrm{Coind}_k^{k'}(I_{l'/k'})\big) \to H^1(k,I_{l/k})$ is the multiplication by 2 on $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ hence is injective. By using the starting exact sequence, we conclude that $H^1\big(k,\widehat{T}^0\big) = 0$ as desired. □

4.3. **A necessary condition.** There is a basic restriction on the types of maximal tori of G.

Proposition 4.3.1. *(1) Let T be a k–torus of rank two and let $i : T \to G$ be a k–embedding such that* $\mathbf{type}(T,i) = [(k',l)]$. *Then $G \times_k k'$ is split.*

(2) Assume that $l = k \times k \times k$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) There exists a k–embedding $i : T \to G$ of a rank two torus T such that $\mathbf{type}(T,i) = [(k',k^3)]$*;*

(ii) $G_{k'}$ splits;

(iii) There is an isometry $(k',n_{k'/k}) \hookrightarrow (C,N_C)$.

Proof. (1) Since G is of type G_2, it is equivalent to show that $G \times_k k'$ is isotropic.

We may assume then $T = T^{(k',l)}$. We consider first the case when $l = k \times l_2$ where l_2 is a quadratic étale k-algebra. Then we have $T \times_k k' \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1_{l'/k'}\big(\mathbb{G}_{m,l'}\big) \xleftarrow{\sim} R_{l_2\otimes k'/k'}(\mathbb{G}_{m,l_2\otimes k'})$ hence $T \times_k k'$ is isotropic.

It remains to consider the case when the cubic k–algebra l is a field. From the first case, we see that $G_{l'}$ is split. In other words the k'–group $G_{k'}$ is split by the cubic field algebra $l = l \otimes_k k'$ of k'. Hence $C_{k'}$ is split hence C splits.

(2) $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ follows from (1).

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$. If G is split, (i) holds according to Theorem 2.3.1. We may assume then G is not split, hence anisotropic. In particular, k is is infinite. Since $G_{k'}$ splits, k' is a field and we denote by $\sigma : k' \to k'$ the conjugacy automorphism. We use now some classical trick. Since $G(k')$ is Zariski dense in the Weil restriction $R_{k'/k}(G_{k'})$, there exists a Borel k–subgroup B of $R_{k'/k}(G_{k'})$ such that its conjugate $\sigma(B)$ is opposite to B. The k–group $T = B \cap \sigma(B) \cap G$ of G is then a rank two torus. If we write $B = R_{k'/k}(B')$ with B' a Borel k'-subgroup of $G_{k'}$, then $T_{k'}$ is a maximal torus of B'. We denote by $i : T \to G$. By seeing $i(T_{k'})$ as a maximal k'–torus of B', it follows that the action of σ on the root system $\Psi(G_{k'}, T')$ is by -1. Thus $\mathbf{type}(T, i) = (k', k^3)$ as desired.

For the equivalence $(ii) \Longleftrightarrow (iii)$, see Lemma 3.1.3. □

Remark 4.3.2. Another proof of (2) is provided by the next Proposition 4.4.1, it is the case $k_1 = k_2$.

4.4. **The biquadratic case.** In the dihedral group $D_6 \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it is convenient to change coordinates by considering the diagonal subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 = \langle c_1,\ c_2 \rangle$. The map $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} C_2 \times (1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \subset C_2 \times S_3$ is given by $(c_1, c_2) \mapsto (c_1, c_1c_2)$.

We are interested in the case when the class of (k', l) belongs to the image of $H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(k, S_3)$. In terms of étale algebras, it rephrases by saying that there are quadratic étale k-algebras k_1/k, k_2/k such that $k' = k_2$ and $l = k \times l_2$ where $[k_2] = [k_1] + [l_2]$. We call that case the biquadratic case. In that case, $T^{(k',l)}$ is k–isomorphic to $\Big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2$.

Proposition 4.4.1. *Let k_1, k_2 be quadratic étale k-algebras and denote by $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ their class. We consider the couple $(k', l) = (k_2, k \times l_2)$ where $[l_2] = [k_1] + [k_2]$. We denote by $\underline{\Psi} = \underline{\Psi}_{(k',l)}$ defined in Section 4.2 and by $X = \mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi})$ the K-variety of embeddings defined in Section 2.6.*

(a) The following are equivalent:

1. *$X(k) \neq \emptyset$, that is G admits a maximal k–torus of type $[(k', l)]$;*
2. *$C \otimes_k k_j$ is split for $j = 1$ and 2;*
3. *C admits a quaternion subalgebra Q such that there exists $c \in k^\times$ satisfying*

$$[Q] = \chi_1 \cup (c) = \chi_2 \cup (c) \in {}_2\mathrm{Br}(k).$$

(b) If the k–variety X has a zero-cycle of odd degree then it has a k–point.

Proof. *a*) If C is split, the statement is trivial since the three assertions hold. We can then assume than C is non split. We choose scalars $a_1, a_2 \in k$ such that $k_j \cong$

$k[t]/t^2 - a_j$ for $j = 1$ and 2 if k is of odd characteristic and $k_j \cong k[t]/t^2 + t + a_j$ in the characteristic two case.

(1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): We assume that $T = T^{k',l} \cong \Big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2$ embeds then in G. Then T_{k_j} is isotropic so that G_{k_j} is isotropic, hence split for $j = 1$ and 2. We conclude that C_{k_j} is split for $j = 1$ and 2.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3): We shall construct a quaternion subalgebra Q of C which contains k_1 and k_2. Since C_{k_j} splits for $j = 1$ and 2, we know that k_j embeds in C as unital composition subalgebra (Lemma 3.1.3). If $k_1 = k_2$ then Q can be obtained from k_1 by the doubling process from [SV, Proposition 1.2.3]. So we can assume than $k_1 \neq k_2$. Let $x \in k_2 \setminus k_1$. Then Lemma 3.2.3 shows that $Q = k_1 \oplus k_1 x$ is a unital composition subalgebra of C. It is of dimension 4 so is a quaternion subalgebra which contains k_1 and k_2. The common slot lemma yields that there exists $c \in k^\times$ such that $[Q] = \chi_1 \cup (c) = \chi_2 \cup (c) \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$. In odd characteristic, a reference for the common slot lemma is [La, 4.13]. In characteristic free, it is a consequence of a fact on Pfister forms pointed out by Garibaldi-Petersson [GP, prop. 3.12]. The 1-Pfister quadratic forms $n_{k_1/k}$ and $n_{k_2/k}$ are subforms of the Pfister quadratic form N_Q, so there exists a bilinear quadratic Pfister form $h = \langle 1, c\rangle$ such that $N_Q \cong h \otimes n_{k_1/k} \cong N_Q = h \otimes n_{k_2/k}$. Thus $[Q] = \chi_1 \cup (c) = \chi_2 \cup (c) \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$ according to the characterization of quaternion algebras by their norm forms.

(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): We have that $C \cong C(Q, c)$, so we get an embedding $\Big(\mathrm{SL}_1(Q) \times \mathrm{SL}_1(Q)\Big)/\mu_2 \to \mathrm{Aut}(C(Q, c)) \xrightarrow{\sim} G$. By embedding k_1 in Q (resp. k_2 in Q), we get an embedding $R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{SL}_1(Q) \times \mathrm{SL}_1(Q)$ so that an embedding $i : \Big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\Big)/\mu_2 \to \Big(\mathrm{SL}_1(Q) \times \mathrm{SL}_1(Q)\Big)/\mu_2 \to G$. By the computations of the proof of Lemma 4.2.1.(2), it has indeed type $[(k', l)]$.

(b) We assume that X has a 0–cycle of odd degree, i.e. there are finite field extensions $K_1, \ldots, K_r$ of k such that $X(K_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $g.c.d.([K_1 : K], \ldots [K_r : K])$ is odd. By (a), it follows that $C_{K_i \otimes_k k_1}$ and $C_{K_i \otimes_k k_2}$ is split for $i = 1, ..., r$. Then there exists an index i such that $[K_i : k]$ is odd. If $k_1 = k \times k$, then C splits over K_i; it follows that is C split by Lemma 3.1.1 whence $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 2.3.1. We can then assume that k_1 is a field. Then $K_i \otimes_k k_1$ is a field extension of K_j so that $C_{K_j \otimes_k k_1}$ splits; since $[K_i \otimes_k k_1 : k_1]$ is odd; Lemma 3.1.1 shows then that C_{k_1} is split. Similarly C_{k_2} is split and by (a), we conclude that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. □

In the following, we consider a special case where k' and l have the same discriminant.

Corollary 4.4.2. *Let k'/k be a quadratic étale algebra and let l be a cubic étale k–algebra of discriminant k'. If C admits a maximal k–torus of type $[(k', l)]$, then C splits.*

Proof. Assume firstly that l is not a field, so that $l \cong k \times k'$. Then Proposition 4.4.1 yields that C is split by the quadratic étale k–algebra k_1 which satisfies $[k_1] = [k'] + [l_2] = 0$, whence C is split.

If l is a field, the octonion l–algebra C_l admits a maximal l-torus of type $[(k' \otimes_k l, l \otimes_k l)]$. Since $l \otimes_k l \xrightarrow{\sim} l \times (l \otimes_k k')$, the first case shows that C_l is split. We conclude that C is split by Lemma 3.1.1. □

Remark 4.4.3. Take $k = \mathbb{R}$ and let C be the "anisotropic" Cayley algebra (or simply Cayley algebra). We consider the case where $(k', l) = (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})$. By Corollary 4.4.2, there is no $\mathbb{R}$–embedding of a maximal torus of type (k', l). However, $G_{k'}$ splits and this example shows that only the direct implication holds in Proposition 4.3.1.(1). The only possible type is then $[(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}^3)]$ which is realized according to Proposition 4.3.1.(2).

We can now provide a description of such maximal tori.

Proposition 4.4.4. *Let k_1, k_2 be quadratic étale k-algebras. We consider the couple $(k', l) = (k_2, k \times l_2)$ where $[l_2] = [k_1] + [k_2]$ and we assume that C is split by k_1 and k_2. We put $T = \big(R^1_{k_1/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R^1_{k_2/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)\big)/\mu_2$ and consider a k–embedding $i : T \to G$ of type $[(k', l)]$. Then there exists a quaternion subalgebra Q of C containing k_1 and k_2 and a Cayley-Dickson decomposition $C \cong C(Q, c)$ such that $i : T \to G \cong \mathrm{Aut}(C(Q, c))$ factorizes by the k–subgroup $\Big(\mathrm{SL}_1(Q) \times \mathrm{SL}_1(Q)\Big)/\mu_2$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(C(Q, c))$.*

Proof. Case $k_1 \otimes_k k_2$ is a field. We denote by $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ the Galois group of the biquadratic field extension $k_1 \otimes_k k_2$. This group acts on the root system $\Phi(G_{k_s}, i(T_{k_s}))$ through a W_0–conjugate of the standard subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of W_0 generated by the central symmetry and the symmetry with the horizontal axis (see figure in §3.3). It follows that Γ stabilizes a subroot system Φ_1 of type $A_1 \times A_1$ of $\Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$. By Galois descent, the k_s-subgroup generated by the root subgroups of Φ_1 descends to a k–subgroup H of G which is semisimple of type $A_1 \times A_1$. Lemma 3.4.1 shows that there is a Cayley-Dickson's decomposition $C = Q \oplus Q.a$ such that $H = H(Q)$. We have then a factorization of $i : T \to G$ by $H(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \big(\mathrm{SL}_1(Q) \times \mathrm{SL}_1(Q)\big)/\mu_2$.

The other cases (k_1 or k_2 split, $k_1 = k_2$) are simpler of the same flavour and left to the reader. □

4.5. **The cubic field case: a first example.** Beyond the previous "equal discriminant case", the embedding problem for a given octonion algebra C and a couple (k', l) whenever l is a cubic field is much more complicated. The property to carry a maximal torus of "cubic type" encodes information on the relevant k–group and we shall investigate firstly specific examples over Laurent series fields. The next fact is inspired by similar considerations on central simple algebras by Chernousov/Rapinchuk/Rapinchuk [CRR, §2].

Let us start with a more general setting. Let G_0 be a semisimple Chevalley group defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ equipped with a maximal split subtorus T_0. Denote by Ψ_0 the root

datum attached to (G_0, T_0). Let G'/k be a quasi-split form of G_0 and denote by T' a maximal k–torus of G' which is the centralizer of a maximal k–split torus of G'. We denote by $W' = N_{G'}(T')/T'$ the Weyl group of T'.

Lemma 4.5.1. *Let $K = k((t))$. Let E be a W'-torsor defined over k and put $T = E \wedge^{W'} T'$. Assume that $H^1(k, \widehat{T}^0) = 0$, where $\widehat{T}^0$ is the Galois lattice of cocharacters of T. Let $z : \mathrm{Gal}(K_s/K) \to G'(K_s)$ be a Galois cocycle and put $G = {}_zG'/K$. Assume there is an embedding $i : T_K \to G$ satisfying $\mathbf{type}_{can}(i, T_K) = [E]_K \in H^1(K, W')$. Then $[z]$ is "unramified", i.e. $[z] \in \mathrm{Im}\big(H^1(k, G') \to H^1(K, G')\big)$. In particular there exists a semisimple k–group H such that $G \cong H \times_k K$.*

Proof. By our form of Steinberg's Theorem 2.4.1, there is a K–embedding $i' : T_K \to G'_K$ such that the class $[z] \in H^1(K, G'_K)$ belongs to the image of $i'_* : H^1(K, T) \to H^1(K, G'_K)$ and furthermore $\mathbf{type}_{can}(T_K, i) = \mathbf{type}_{id}(T_K, i') = [E]_K \in H^1(K, W')$.

On the other hand, we know by Theorem 2.3.1 that there exists a k–embedding $j : T \to G'$ such that $\mathbf{type}_{id}(T, j) = [E]$. By Proposition 2.5.3 the images of $(i')_*$ and $(j_K)_* : H^1(K, T) \to H^1(K, G')$ coincide. It follows that $[z] \in H^1(K, G')$ belongs to the image of $(j_K)_* : H^1(K, T) \to H^1(K, G')$. We appeal now to the localization sequence $0 \to H^1(k, T) \to H^1(K, T) \to H^1(k, \widehat{T}^0) \to 0$ provided by the appendix (Lemma 7.1). Using our vanishing hypothesis $H^1(k, \widehat{T}^0) = 0$ and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(k,T) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K,T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_{*,k}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_{*,K}} & & \\ H^1(k,G') & \longrightarrow & H^1(K,G') & & \end{array} ,$$

we conclude that $[z]$ comes from $H^1(k, G')$. □

Since every semisimple K-group of type G_2 is an inner form of its splits form, the following corollary follows readily.

Corollary 4.5.2. *$K = k((t))$ and let G/K be a semisimple K–group of type G_2. Consider a couple (k', l) such that k'/k is a quadratic étale algebra and l/k is a cubic field separable extension. Denote by E/k the W_0-torsor associated to (k', l) and put $T/k = E \wedge^{W_0} T_0$. If the K-torus $T \times_k K$ admits an embedding i in G such that $\mathbf{type}_{can}(T_K, i) = [(k', l)]$, then there exists a semisimple k–group H of type G_2 such that $G \cong H \times_k K$.*

Proof. We can assume that $G = {}_z(G_0)/K$ where $z : \mathrm{Gal}(K_s/K) \to G(K_s)$ is a Galois cocycle. By Lemma 4.2.3 (2), we have $H^1(k, \widehat{T}^0) = 0$. The corollary then follows from Lemma 4.5.1 applied to $G' = G_0/k$ and $T' = T_0$. □

Theorem 4.5.3. *Let Q be a quaternion division algebra over k, k' a quadratic étale subalgebra of Q and let l/k be a Galois cubic field extension. As before, let $K = k((t))$,*

$K' = k'((t))$, $L = l((t))$. Let $C/K = C(Q_K, t)$ be the octonion algebra algebra built out from the Cayley-Dickson doubling process.

Let $\underline{\Psi} = \underline{\Psi}_{(K',L)}$ defined in Section 4.2, and let $X = \mathcal{E}(G, \underline{\Psi})$ be the K-variety of embeddings defined in Section 2.6. Then $X(K) = \emptyset$, $X(K') \neq \emptyset$ and $X(L) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. We have $N_C = N_{Q,K} \otimes \langle 1, t\rangle$. Since N_Q is an anisotropic k–form, the quadratic form N_C is anisotropic and cannot be defined over k according to Springer's decomposition theorem [EKM, §19]. It follows that the K–group $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ cannot be defined over k; Lemma 4.5.1 shows there is no embbeding of a K–torus with type $[(K', L)]$ and therefore $X(K) = \emptyset$.

Since K' splits C, $G \times_K K'$ is split so that we have $X(K') \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 2.3.1. It remains to show that $X(L)$ is not empty. We have $[(K', L)] \otimes_K L \cong [K' \otimes_K L, L^3]$. Since K' splits C, $K' \otimes_K L$ splits C and Proposition 4.3.1.(2) yields that $X(L) \neq \emptyset$. □

Remarks 4.5.4. (a) The requirements on the field k are mild and are satisfied by any local or global field.

(b) Geometrically speaking, the variety X/K is a homogeneous space under a K–group of type G_2 whose geometric stabilizer is a maximal K-torus. How far as we know, it is the simplest example of homogeneous space under a semisimple simply connected group with a 0–cycle of degree one and no rational points; compare with Florence [Fl] where stabilizers are finite and non-commutative and Parimala [Pa] where stabilizers are parabolic subgroups.

5. Étale cubic algebras and hermitian forms

Our goal is to investigate further the cubic case by using results of Haile-Knus-Rost-Tignol on hermitian 3-forms [HKRT].

Let C be an octonion algebra over k and put $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Let $i : T \to G$ be a k–embedding of a rank 2 torus and we denote by $[(k', l)]$ its type.

We denote by $R_{>0}$ the subset of long roots of the root system $R = \Phi\big(G_{k_s}, i(T_{k_s})\big)$. Then $R_>$ is a root system of type A_2 and is Γ_k-stable, hence defines a twisted datum. We consider the k_s–subgroup of G_{k_s} generated by T_{k_s} and the root groups attached to elements of $R_>$, it is a semisimple simply connected of type A_2 and descends to a semisimple k–group $J(T, i)$ of G. Our goal is to study such embeddings (T, i) by means of the subgroup $J(T, i)$.

We shall see in the sequel that such a k-group $J(T, i)$ is a special unitary group form some hermitian 3-form for k'/k.

Remarks 5.0.5. (a) J.-P. Serre explained us another way to construct the k–subgroup $J(T, i)$. Define the finite k–group of multiplicative type

$$\mu_{T,k_s} = \ker\Big(T_{k_s} \xrightarrow{\prod \alpha} \prod_{\alpha \in R_>} \mathbb{G}_{m,k_s}\Big);$$

it descends to a k-subgroup μ_T of T. We claim that

$$J(T,i) = Z_G\big(\mu_T\big).$$

For checking that fact, it is harmless to assume that k is algebraically closed. For simplicity, we put $J = J(T,i)$, it is isomorphic to SL_3. Since $\Phi\big(J, i(T))\big) = R_>$, we have that $\mu_T = Z(J)$ [SGA3, XIX.1.10.3]; it follows that $\mu_T \cong \mu_3$ and that $J \subseteq Z_G\big(\mu_T\big)$. Since J is a semisimple subgroup of maximal rank of G, the Borel/de Siebenthal's theorem provides a k–subgroup μ_n of T such that $J = Z_G(\mu_n)$ [Pe, Prop. 6.6]. Then $\mu_n \subseteq Z(J) \cong \mu_3$ so that $\mu_n = Z(J) = \mu_T$. Thus $J = Z_G(\mu_T)$.

(b) If k is of characteristic 3, we can associate to T another k–subgroup $J_<(T,i)$ of type A_2. Let $R_<$ be the subset of short roots of the root system $R = \Phi\big(G_{k_s}, i(T_{k_s})\big)$. It a 3-closed symmetric subset [Pe, lemma 2.4] so the k_s–subgroup of G_{k_s} generated by T_{k_s} and the root groups attached to elements of $R_<$ defines a semisimple k_s–subgroup $J_<$ of G_{k_s} (*ibid*, Th. 3.1); furthermore we have $\Phi\big(J, i(T_{k_s})\big) = R_<$. The k_s–group $J_<$ descends to a semisimple k–group $J_<(T,i)$. It is semisimple of type A_2 and adjoint since $R_<$ spans $\widehat{T}(k_s)$.

5.1. **Rank 3 hermitian forms and octonions.** Let k'/k be a quadratic étale algebra. From a construction of Jacobson [J, §5] (see [KPS, §6] for the generalization to an arbitrary base field), we recall that we can attach to a rank 3 hermitian form (E,h) (for k'/k)) with trivial (hermitian) discriminant an octonion k–algebra $C(k',E,h) = k' \oplus E$. Furthermore, the k–group $\mathrm{SU}(k',E,h)$ embeds in $\mathrm{Aut}(C(k',E,h))$ by $g.(x,e) = (x, g.e)$. We denote by $J(k',E,h)$ this k–subgroup and we observe that k' is the k–vector subspace of $C(k',E,h)$ of fixed points for the action of $J(k',E,h)$ on $C(k',E,h)$. Also $J(k',E,h)$ is the k–subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C(k',E,h))$ acting trivially on k'.

In a converse way (see [KMRT, exercise 6.(b) page 508])), if we are given an embedding of unital composition k–algebra $k' \to C$, we denote by E the orthogonal subspace of k' for N_C. For any $x, y \in k'$ and $z \in E$, we have $0 = \langle xy, z\rangle_C = \langle y, \sigma_C(x)\, z\rangle_C$ by using the identity [SV, 1.3.2], so that the multiplication $C \times C \to C$ induces a bilinear k–map $k' \times E \to E$. Then E has a natural k'–structure and the restriction of N_C to E defines a hermitian form h (of trivial discriminant) such that $C = C(k',E,h)$.

Furthermore, if we have two subfields k'_1, k'_2 of C isomorphic to k', the "Skolem–Noether" property [KMRT, 33.21] shows that there exists $g \in G(k)$ mapping k'_1 to k'_2. Hence the hermitian forms (E_1, h_1), (E_2, h_2) are isometric.

Remark 5.1.1. Of course, in such a situation, h can be diagonalized as $\langle -b, -c, bc\rangle$ and we have $n_{C(k',E,h)} = n_{k'/k} \otimes \langle\langle b, c\rangle\rangle$. If we take $\langle -1, -1, 1\rangle$, we get one form of the split octonion algebra C_0 and then a k–subgroup $J_0 = \mathrm{SL}_3$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(C_0)$.

Lemma 5.1.2. *In the above setting, we put* $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C(k',E,h))$ *and* $J = J(k',E,h)$.
(1) There is a natural exact sequence of algebraic k–groups $1 \to J \to N_G(J) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 1$.

(2) The map $N_G(J)(k) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is onto and the induced action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on k' is the Galois action.

Proof. (1) We consider the commutative exact diagram of k–groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & Z(J) & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & J/Z(J) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & Z_G(J) & \longrightarrow & N_G(J) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(J) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & & & \mathrm{Autext}(J) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & & \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & & & 1 & &
\end{array}$$

Let T be a maximal k–torus of J, it is still maximal in G. Then $Z_G(J) \subseteq Z_G(T) = T$ hence $Z_G(J) \subseteq Z(J)$, so that $Z(J) = Z_G(J)$. The diagram provides then an exact sequence $1 \to J \to N_G(J) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We postpone the surjectivity.

(2) Now by the "Skolem-Noether property" [KMRT, 33.21], the Galois action $\sigma : k' \to k'$ extends to an element $g \in G(k)$. Given $u \in J(k)$, gug^{-1} is an element of $G(k)$ which acts trivially on k', so belongs to $J(k)$. Since it holds for any field extension of k, we have that $g \in N_G(J)(k)$. We conclude that the map $N_G(J) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective and that the induced action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on k' is the Galois action. □

Let C be an octonion algebra and put $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and let J be a semisimple k–subgroup of type A_2 of G. Then J is of maximal rank and we can appeal again to the Borel-de Siebenthal's classification theorem [Pe, th. 3.1]. If the characteristic of k is not 3, then J is geometrically conjugated to the standard SL_3 in G_2 and is then simply connected. If the characteristic k is 3, then J may arise as in Remark 5.0.5.(b) from the short roots associated to a maximal k–torus of J; in that case J is adjoint. We can state a similar statement as Lemma 3.4.1.

Lemma 5.1.3. *Let J be a semisimple simply connected k–subgroup of type A_2 of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and we denote by k'/k the quadratic étale algebra attached to the quasi–split form of J. Then there exists a rank 3 hermitian form (E, h) for k'/k an isomorphism $C \cong C(k', E, h)$, an isomorphism $J \xrightarrow{\sim} J(k', E, h)$ such that the following diagram*

commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & \hookrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ J(E,h) & \xrightarrow{\ j\ } & \mathrm{Aut}(C(k',E,h)). \end{array}$$

Proof. Given a k-maximal torus T of G, we consider the root system $\Psi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$. There are exactly 6 long roots in $\Psi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$ which form an A_2-subsystem of $\Psi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$. Let H be the subgroup of G_{k_s} which is generated by T_{k_s} and the root groups of long roots. Since the Galois action preserves the length of a root, the group H is defined over k. Hence given a k-maximal torus T, there is exactly one subgroup H of G which is a twisted form of SL_3 and contains T. Since all maximal k-split tori are conjugated over k, the split group G_0 of type G_2 has one single conjugacy $G_0(k)$–class of k–subgroups isomorphic to SL_3. It follows that the couple (G, J) is isomorphic over k_s to the couple (G_0, J_0). In particular, by Galois descent, the subspace of fixed points of J on C is an étale subalgebra l of rank 2 which is a unital composition subalgebra of C. We define then the orthogonal subspace E of l in C. Then E has a natural structure of l–vector space and carries a hermitian form h of trivial (hermitian) discriminant such that $C(l, E, h) = C$ (see [KMRT, exercise 6.(b) page 508]). But J acts trivially on l, so that $J \subseteq J(l, E, h)$ By dimension reasons, we conclude that $J = J(l, E, h)$. Then l/k is the discriminant étale algebra of J hence $k' = l$. □

Remark 5.1.4. Note that in the above proof, we didn't put any assumption on the characteristic of k. However, in characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, Hooda proved the above lemma in a quite different way [H, th. 4.4].

5.2. **Embedding maximal tori.** From now on, we assume for simplicity than the characteristic exponent of k is not 2.

Lemma 5.2.1. *Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ be a semisimple k–group of type G_2. Let k' (resp. l) be a quadratic (resp. cubic) étale algebra of k. Let $i : T \to G$ be a k–embedding of a maximal k–torus such that* $\mathbf{type}(T, i) = [(k', l)]$ *and denote by $J(T, i)$ the associated k–subgroup of G.*

(1) The discriminant algebra of $J(T, i)$ is k'/k.

(2) By Lemma 5.1.3, we can write $C = C(k', E, h)$ and identify $J(T, i)$ with $J(k', E, h)$. Then there is a k'–embedding $f : k' \otimes_k l \to M_3(k')$ such that $f \circ (\sigma \otimes id) = \tau_h \circ f$ on $k' \otimes_k l$, where τ_h is the involution on $M_3(k')$ induced by h.

Proof. (1) We put $J = J(T, i)$. We consider the Galois action on the root system $\Psi\big(G_{k_s}, i(T)_{k_s}\big)$ and its subroot system $\Psi\big(J_{k_s}, i(T)_{k_s}\big) = \Psi\big(G_{k_s}, i(T)_{k_s}\big)_>$. It is given by a map $f : \Gamma_k \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$ defining $[(k', l)]$. Since the Weyl group of $\Psi\big(J_{k_s}, i(T)_{k_s}\big)$ is S_3, it follows that the $\star$-action of Γ_k on the Dynkin diagram A_2 is the projection $\Gamma_k \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore the discriminant algebra of $J(T, i)$ is k'/k.

(2) We have then a k–embedding $i : T \to J = \mathrm{SU}(k', E, h)$. Its type (absolute with respect to J) is $[(k', l)] \in H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3)$. By [Le, th 1.15.(2)], there is a k'–embedding $k' \otimes_k l \to M_3(k')$ with respect to the conjugacy involution $\sigma \otimes id$ on $k' \otimes_k l$ and the involution τ_h attached to h.

□

Proposition 5.2.2. *Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ be a semisimple k–group of type G_2. Let k' (resp. l) be a quadratic (resp. cubic) étale k-algebra. We denote by X the variety of k–embedding of maximal tori in G attached to the twist of Ψ_0 by (k', l) (seen as a W_0–torsor). The following are equivalent:*

(i) $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, that is there exists an embedding $i : T \to G$ of a maximal k–torus of type $[(k', l)]$.

(ii) there exists a rank 3 hermitian form (E, h) for k'/k of trivial (hermitian) discriminant such that $C \cong C(k', E, h)$ and such that there exists a k'-embedding of $k' \otimes_k l \to \mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ with respect to the conjugacy involution on k' and the involution τ_h attached to h.

(iii) there exists a rank 3 hermitian form (E, h) for k'/k of trivial (hermitian) discriminant such that $C \cong C(k', E, h)$ and an element $\lambda \in l^\times$ such that $(l \otimes_k k', \mathrm{t}'_\lambda) \simeq (E, h)$, where $\mathrm{t}'_\lambda(x, y) = \mathrm{tr}_{l \otimes k'/k'}(\lambda x\, \sigma(y))$.

Proof. The implication $(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$ follows from Lemma 5.2.1.(2). Conversely, we assume (ii). Then $G \cong \mathrm{Aut}(C(k', E, h))$ admits the k–subgroup $J(k', E, h) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SU}(k', E, k)$. By [Le, th 1.15.(2)], there is a k–embedding $i : T \to \mathrm{SU}(k', E, k)$ of maximal torus whose absolute type (with respect to J) is $[(k', l)]$. The k–embedding $i : T \to \mathrm{SU}(k', E, k) \to G$ has also absolute type $[(k', l)]$.

The equivalence $(ii) \Longleftrightarrow (iii)$ follows from the embedding criterion of $k' \otimes_k l \to \mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ given by proposition 1.4.1 of [BLP]. □

Let k', l be as in Proposition 5.2.2. Let $\delta \in k^\times/k^{\times^2}$ be the discriminant of l and $d \in k^\times/k^{\times^2}$ be the discriminant of k'. Let B be a central simple algebra over k' with an involution σ of the second kind. Let Trd be the reduced trace on B. Let $(B, \sigma)_+$ be the k-vector space of σ-symmetric elements of B. Let Q_σ be the quadratic form on $(B, \sigma)_+$ defined by

$$Q_\sigma(x, y) = \mathrm{Trd}(xy).$$

Let us recall some results in [HKRT].

Lemma 5.2.3. *Assume that k is not of characteristic 2. Let B be a central simple K-algebra of odd degree $n = 2m - 1$ with involution σ of the second kind. There is a quadratic form q_σ of dimension $n(n-1)/2$ and trivial discriminant over k such that*

$$Q_\sigma \simeq \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle 2 \rangle \cdot \langle\langle \alpha \rangle\rangle \otimes q_\sigma.$$

Proof. We refer to Proposition 4 in [HKRT]. □

Theorem 5.2.4. *Assume that k is not of characteristic 2 or 3. Let σ, τ be involutions of the second kind on a central simple algebra B of degree 3. Then σ and τ are isomorphic if and only if Q_σ and Q_τ are isometric.*

Proof. We refer to Theorem 15 in [HKRT]. □

Let (B, σ) be as in Lemma 5.2.3 with degree $B = 3$ and assume that 6 is invertible in k. Let b_0, $c_0 \in k^\times$ such that $q_\sigma \simeq \langle -b_0, -c_0, b_0c_0\rangle$. Define $\pi(B, \sigma)$ to be the Pfister form $\langle\langle d, b_0, c_0\rangle\rangle$. An involution σ of the second kind is called *distinguished* if $\pi(B, \sigma)$ is hyperbolic. Let (E, h) be a rank 3 hermitian form over k' with trivial (hermitian) discriminant. We can find b, $c \in k^\times$ such that $h \simeq \langle -b, -c, bc\rangle_{k'}$.

Now consider the special case where $(B, \sigma) = (\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E), \tau_h)$. Then we have $q_{\tau_h} = \langle -b, -c, bc\rangle$ and $\pi(\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E), \tau_h) = \langle\langle d, b, c\rangle\rangle$, which is the norm form of the octonion $C(k', E, h)$. It is then possible to recover with that method at least the two following facts.

Remarks 5.2.5. (a) Theorem 2.3.1 for G_2, i.e. all possible type of tori occur in the split case. Given a couple (k', l), we can write the split octonion algebra C as $C(k', E, h)$ for $E = (k')^3$ $h = \langle -1, -1, 1\rangle$. First we note that l can be embedded into $\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ since $\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ is split. As N_C is isotropic, we have τ_h is distinguished. By Corollary 18 in [HKRT], every cubic étale algebra l can be embedded as a subalgebra in $\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ with its image in $(\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E), \tau_h)_+$. By Proposition 5.2.2.(2), there is an embedding $i : T \to G$ of type $[(k', l)] \in H^1(k, W_0)$.

(b) Corollary 4.4.2, that is the "equal discriminant case": the discriminant algebra of l is k'. In this case there is an embedding $i : T \to G$ of type $[(k', l)]$ if and only if N_C is isotropic. For a proof in the present setting, we assume there is an embedding $i : T \to G$ of type $[(k', l)]$. According to Proposition 5.2.2.(2), there exists an 3–hermitian form (E, h) of trivial determinant such that $C \cong C(k', E, h)$ and an embedding $l \underset{k}{\otimes} k' \to \mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ with respect to the conjugacy involution on k' and the involution τ_h attached to h. Then $(\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E), \tau_h)_+$ contains a cubic étale algebra isomorphic to l whose discriminant is d. By Theorem 16.(e) in [HKRT], we have $\pi(\mathrm{End}_{k'}(E), \tau_h) = N_C$ is isotropic. Thus C is split.

Proposition 5.2.6. *Assume that k is not of characteristic 2, 3. Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ be a semisimple k–group of type G_2. Let k' (resp. l) be a quadratic (resp. cubic) étale k-algebra. Then there is a k-embedding $i : T \to G$ of type $[(k', l)] \in H^1(k, W_0)$ if and only if the following two conditions both hold:*

(i) *There is a rank 3 k'/k-hermitian form (E, h) of trivial (hermitian) discriminant such that $C \simeq C(k', E, h)$.*

(ii) *Let $b, c \in k^\times$ such that $\langle -b, -c, bc\rangle_{k'}$ is isometric to the form h in (i). Then there is $\lambda \in l^\times$ such that $N_{l/k}(\lambda) \in k^{\times 2}$ and the k-quadratic form $\langle\langle d\rangle\rangle \otimes \langle\delta\rangle \cdot t_{l/k}(\langle\lambda\rangle)$ is*

isometric to $\langle\langle d\rangle\rangle \otimes \langle -b, -c, bc\rangle$*, where* $t_{l/k}$ *denotes the Scharlau transfer with respect to the trace map* $\mathrm{tr} : l \to k$*.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a k-embedding $i : T \to G$ of type $[(k', l)] \in H^1(k, W_0)$. By Proposition 5.2.2 (2), there is a rank 3 k'/k-hermitian form (E, h) such that $C \simeq C(k', E, h)$, and there exists an embedding $\iota : k' \otimes_k l \to \mathrm{End}_{k'}(E)$ with respect to the conjugacy involution on k' and the involution τ_h attached to h. By [HKRT, Corollary 12], we can find $\lambda \in l^\times$ such that $N_{l/k}(\lambda) \in {k^\times}^2$ and the q_{τ_h} in Lemma 5.2.3 is the k-quadratic form $\langle\delta\rangle \cdot t_{l/k}(\langle\lambda\rangle)$. Since $Q_{\tau_h} = 3\langle 1\rangle \perp \langle 2\rangle \cdot \langle\langle d\rangle\rangle \otimes \langle -b, -c, bc\rangle$, the condition (ii) follows from the Witt cancellation.

Conversely, suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. By Proposition 5.2.2 (2), it suffices to prove that there is a k-embedding of l into $(M_3(k'), \tau_h)_+$. Note that every cubic étale k–algebra l can be embedded into $M_3(k')$ as k-algebras. By Corollary 14 in [HKRT], for every $\lambda \in l^\times$ such that $N_{l/k}(\lambda) \in {k^\times}^2$, there is an involution σ of the second kind on $M_3(k')$ leaving l elementwise invariant such that

$$Q_\sigma = \langle 1, 1, 1\rangle \perp \langle 2\rangle \cdot \langle\langle d\rangle\rangle \otimes \langle\delta\rangle \cdot t_{l/k}(\langle\lambda\rangle).$$

Condition (ii) implies that we can choose λ so that Q_σ and Q_{τ_h} are isometric. By Theorem 5.2.4, the involutions σ and τ_h are isomorphic and hence there is a k-embedding of l into $(M_3(k'), \tau_h)_+$. □

6. Hasse principle

We assume that the base field k is a number field.

Proposition 6.1. *Let* (k', l) *be a couple where* k' *is a quadratic étale* k*–algebra and* l/k *cubic étale* k*–algebra. Let* G *be a semisimple* k*–group of type* G_2 *and let* X *be the* G*–homogeneous space of the embeddings of maximal tori with respect to the type* $[(k', l)]$*. Then* X *satisfies the Hasse principle.*

Proof. Since G_0 is simply connected, we have $H^1(k_v, G_0) = 1$ for each finite place v of k. The Hasse principle states that the map

$$H^1(k, G_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{v \text{ real place}} H^1(k_v, G_0)$$

is bijective. If G is split, $X(k)$ is not empty (th. 2.3.1), so we may assume that G is not split. By [Le, prop. 2.8], $X(k)$ is not empty iff the Borovoi obstruction $\gamma \in Ш^2(k, T^{(k',l)})$ vanishes. There is a real place v such that G_{k_v} is not split and then k_v-anisotropic. Since there is a k_v–embedding of $T^{(k',l)}$ in G_{k_v}, the torus $T^{(k',l)}$ is k_v–anisotropic. By a lemma due to Kneser [Sa, lemme 1.9.3], we know that $Ш^2(k, T^{(k',l)}) = 0$, so that $\gamma = 0$. Thus $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. □

Remark 6.2. Under the hypothesis of Proposition 6.1, the existence of a k-point on X is controlled by Borovoi obstruction. It follows from the restriction-corestriction principle in Galois cohomology that X has a k-point if and only X has a 0–cycle of

degree one. In other words, examples like in Theorem 4.5.3 do not occur over number fields.

Corollary 6.3. *Let k be a number field, and k' (resp. l) be quadratic (resp. cubic) étale algebra over k. Let $\delta \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ be the discriminant of l and $d \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ be the discriminant of k'. Let Σ be the set of (real) places where G is not split. Then $T^{(k',l)}$ can be embedded in G with respect to the type $[(k',l)]$ if and only if $d = -1 \in k_v^\times/k_v^{\times 2}$ and $\delta = 1 \in k_v^\times/k_v^{\times 2}$ for each $v \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 6.1, $T^{(k',l)}$ can be embedded in G with respect to the type $[(k',l)]$ iff this holds everywhere locally or equivalently (by Theorem 2.3.1) iff this holds locally on Σ. The problem boils down to the real anisotropic case where the only type is $[(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{R}^3)]$ according to Remark 4.4.3. □

Examples 6.4. Keep the notations in Corollary 6.3.

(a) Consider the special case where k is the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that G is anisotropic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since there is only one real place of $\mathbb{Q}$, by Corollary 6.3, the torus $T^{(k',l)}$ can be embedded in G with respect to type $[(k',l)]$ if and only if k' is imaginary and the discriminant of l is positive.

(b) Let k be a number field. Suppose that G is anisotropic. Note that in this case, k is a real extension over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let k' be an imaginary field extension of k and let the discriminant of l be $[a] \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ for some positive $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then by Corollary 6.3, the torus $T^{(k',l)}$ can always be embedded in G with respect to type $[(k',l)]$.

7. Appendix: Galois cohomology of tori and semisimple groups over Laurent series fields

This appendix provides firstly a reference for a well-known fact on the Galois cohomology of tori in the vein of the short exact sequence computing the tame Brauer group of a Laurent series field. This fact is used in the proof of Lemma 4.5.1. Secondly we apply our version of Steinberg's theorem to Bruhat-Tits' theory, answering a question of A. Merkurjev.

We recall that an affine algebraic k–group G is a k–torus if there exists a finite Galois extension k'/k such that $G \times_k k' \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{G}_{m,k'})^r$. If T is a k–torus, we consider its Galois lattice of characters $\widehat{T} = \mathrm{Hom}_{k_s-gp}\big(T_{k_s}, \mathbb{G}_{m,k_s}\big)$ and its Galois lattice of cocharacters $\widehat{T}^0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{k_s-gp}\big(\mathbb{G}_{m,k_s}, T_{k_s}\big)$.

Lemma 7.1. *We put $K = k((t))$. Let T/k be an algebraic k–torus. Then we have a natural split exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^1(k,T) \to H^1(K,T) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1\big(k,\widehat{T}^0\big) \to 0.$$

Proof. Let k' be a Galois extension which splits T. We put $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$ and $K' = k'((t))$. We have the exact sequence [Se, I.2.6.(b)]

$$0 \to H^1(\Gamma, T(k')) \to H^1(k, T) \to H^1(k', T).$$

Since $T_{k'}$ is split, the theorem 90 of Hilbert shows that $H^1(k', T) = 0$, whence an isomorphism $H^1(\Gamma, T(k')) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k, T)$. Similarly, we have $H^1(\Gamma, T(K')) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(K, T)$. We consider the ($\Gamma$–split) exact sequence

$$1 \to (k'[[t]])^\times \to (K')^\times \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

induced by the valuation. Tensoring with $\widehat{T}^0$, we get a Γ-split exact sequence

$$1 \to T\big(k'[[t]]\big) \to T(K') \to \widehat{T}^0 \to 1.$$

It gives rise to a split exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1\big(\Gamma, T\big(k'[[t]]\big)\big) \to H^1\big(\Gamma, T(K')\big) \to H^1\big(\Gamma, \widehat{T}^0\big) \to 0.$$

Now we use the filtration argument of [GS, 6.3.1] by putting

$$U^j = \big\{x \in k'[[t]]^\times \mid v_t(x-1) \geq j\big\}$$

for each $j \geq 0$. The $V^j = \widehat{T}^0 \otimes U^j$'s filter $T\big(k'[[t]]\big)$ and each $V^j/V^{j+1} \cong \widehat{T}^0 \otimes_k k'$ is a k'–vector space equipped with a semi-linear action, hence is Γ–acyclic[1] According to the limit fact [GS, 6.3.2], we conclude that the specialization map $H^1\big(\Gamma, T\big(k'[[t]]\big)\big) \to H^1\big(\Gamma, T(k')\big)$ is an isomorphism. We have then a split exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1\big(\Gamma, T(k')\big) \to H^1\big(\Gamma, T(K')\big) \to H^1\big(\Gamma, \widehat{T}^0\big) \to 0.$$

Since $H^1\big(k', \widehat{T}^0\big) = 0$, we have $H^1\big(\Gamma, \widehat{T}^0\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\big(k, \widehat{T}^0\big)$ whence the desired exact sequence. □

Now we relate Bruhat-Tits' theory and our version of Steinberg's theorem 2.4.1. Let G' be a quasisplit semisimple k–group equipped with a maximal k-split subtorus S'. We denote by W' the Weyl group of the maximal torus $T' = C_{G'}(T')$ of G'. Put $K = k((t))$ and denote by K_{nr} the maximal unramified closure of K.

Proposition 7.2. *Let E be a G'_K–torsor. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $E(K_{nr}) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) there exists a k–torus embedding $i_0 : T_0 \to G'$ such that $[E]$ belongs to the image of $i_{0,*} : H^1(K, T_0) \to H^1(K, G)$.

Proof. We denote by $G/K = {}^E G'$ the inner twist of G'_K by E.

$(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$: Then G is split by the extension K_{nr}/K and the technical condition (DE) of Bruhat-Tits theory is satisfied [BT, prop. 5.1.6]. It follows that G admits a maximal K-torus $j : T \to G$ which is split over K_{nr} (*ibid*, cor. 5.1.2).

[1]Speiser's lemma shows that $V^j/V^{j+1} = E_j \otimes_k k'$ for a k-vector space E_j on which Γ acts trivially.

In particular, there exists a k–torus T_0 such that $T = T_{0,K}$. We consider now the oriented type $\gamma = \mathbf{type}_{can}(T', j) \in H^1(K, W')$ provided by the action of the absolute Galois group of K on the root system $\Phi(G_{K_s}, j(T)_{K_s})$. Since T and G are split by K_{nr}, it is given by the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{nr}/K) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ on the root system $\Phi(G_{K_{nr}}, j(T)_{K_{nr}})$ and then defines a constant class $\gamma_0 \in H^1(k, W')$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma_0)_K$.

In the other hand, by Kottwitz embedding theorem 2.3.1, there exists a k–embedding $i_0 : T_0 \to G'$ of oriented type γ_0. By theorem 2.4.1, we conclude that $[E]$ belongs to the image of $i_{0,*} : H^1(K, T_0) \to H^1(K, G')$.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (i)$: We assume there is a k–embedding $i_0 : T_0 \to G'$ such that $[E]$ belongs to the image of $i_{0,*} : H^1(K, T_0) \to H^1(K, G')$. Since $T_{0,K}$ is split by K_{nr}, the Hilbert 90 theorem shows that $H^1(K_{nr}, T_0) = 0$, whence $E(K_{nr}) \neq \emptyset$. □

Remarks 7.0.7. (a) If k is perfect, we have that $\mathrm{cd}(K_{nr}) = 1$ (Lang, see [GS, th. 6.2.11]) so condition (i) is always satisfied according to Steinberg's theorem.

(b) If k is not perfect, there exist examples when condition (i) is not satisfied, even in the semisimple split simply connected case, see [G1, prop. 3 and Th. 1].

References

[BLP] E. Bayer-Fluckiger, T.-Y. Lee, R. Parimala, *Embeddings of maximal tori in classical groups and explicit Brauer-Manin obstruction*, preprint arXiv:1410.5067v1.

[B] J. Black, *Zero cycles of degree one on principal homogeneous spaces*, J. Algebra **334** (2011), 232–246.

[BP] J. Black, R. Parimala, *Totaro's question for simply connected groups of low rank*, Pacific J. Math. **269** (2014), 257–267.

[BoS] A. Borel and T.A. Springer, *Rationality properties of linear algebraic groups, II*, Tohoku Math. J. **20** (1968), 443-497.

[Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres 4, 5 et 6, Masson, 1981.

[BT] F. Bruhat, J. Tits, *Groupes algébriques sur un corps local II. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée*, Pub. Math. IHES **60** (1984), 5–184.

[CRR] V.I. Chernousov, A.S. Rapinchuk, I.A. Rapinchuk, *The genus of a division algebra and the unramified Brauer group*, Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences **3** (2013), 211-240,

[EKM] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, Colloquium Publications **56** (2008), AMS.

[Fi] A. Fiori, *Classification of certain subgroups of G_2*, preprint arXiv:1501.03431.

[Fl] M. Florence, *Zéro-cycles de degré un sur les espaces homogènes*, International Mathematics Research Notices **54** (2004), 2898-2914.

[GH] S. Garibaldi, D. Hoffmann, *Totaro's question on zero-cycles on G_2, F_4 and E_6 torsors*, J. London Math. Soc. **73** (2006), 325–338.

[GP] S. Garibaldi, H. Petersson, *Wild Pfister forms over Henselian fields, K-theory, and conic division algebras*, Journal of Algebra **327** (2011), 386–465.

[GR] S. Garibaldi, A. Rapinchuk, *Weakly commensurable S-arithmetic subgroups in almost simple algebraic groups of types B and C*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), 1147–1178.

[GS] P. Gille, T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **101** (2006), Cambridge University Press.
[G1] P. Gille, *Unipotent subgroups of reductive groups of characteristic $p > 0$*, Duke Math. J. **114** (2002), 307-328.
[G2] P. Gille, *Type des tores maximaux des groupes semi-simples*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **19** (2004), 213-230.
[G3] P. Gille, *Sur la classification des schémas en groupes semi-simples*, to appear in *Autour des schémas en groupes, III*, Panoramas et Synthèses, Société Mathématique de France.
[H] N. Hooda, *Invariants mod-2 and subgroups of G_2 and F_4*, J. of Algebra **411** (2014), 312-336.
[HKRT] D. E. Haile, M. A. Knus, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol, *Algebras of odd degree with involution, trace forms and dihedral extensions*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **96**, 299-340.
[KMRT] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions, avec une préface de J. Tits*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **44** (1998), American Mathematical Society.
[KPS] M.-A. Knus, R. Parimala, R. Sridharan, R, *On compositions and triality*, J. reine angew. Math. **457** (1994), 45–70.
[K] R. E. Kottwitz, *Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1982), 785–806.
[J] N. Jacobson, *Composition algebras and their automorphisms*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **7** (1958), 55–80.
[La] T. Y. Lam, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms over fields*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS (2004).
[Le] T. Y. Lee, *Embedding functors and their arithmetic properties*, Commentarii Math. Helv. **89** (2014), 671–717.
[Pa] R. Parimala, *Homogeneous varieties: zero-cycles of degree one versus rational points*, Asian Journal of Mathematics **9** (2005), 251-256.
[Pe] S. Pépin Le Halleur, *Subgroups of maximal rank of reductive groups*, to appear in "Autour des Schémas en groupes, II", Panoramas et Synthèses, Société Mathématique de France.
[PR1] G. Prasad, A.S. Rapinchuk, *Weakly commensurable arithmetic groups and isospectral locally symmetric spaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **109** (2009), 113–184.
[PR2] G. Prasad, A.S. Rapinchuk, *Local-global principles for embedding of fields with involution into simple algebras with involution*, Comment. Math. Helv. **85** (2010), 583–645.
[R] M. S. Raghunathan, *Tori in quasi-split-groups*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **19** (2004), 281-287.
[Sa] J.-J. Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **327** (1981), 12-80.
[Se] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, cinquième édition révisée et complétée, Lecture Notes in Math. **5**, Springer-Verlag.
[Sp] T.-A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, second edition (1998), Birkhaüser.
[SV] T.-A. Springer, F.D. Veldkamp, *Octonions, Jordan algebras, and exceptional groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (2000), Springer, Berlin.
[St] R. Steinberg, *Regular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups*, Publications math. I.H.É.S. **25** (1965), 49-80.
[SGA3] *Séminaire de Géométrie algébrique de l'I.H.É.S., 1963-1964, Schémas en groupes, dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck*, Lecture Notes in Math. 151-153. Springer (1970).
[To] B. Totaro, *Splitting fields for E_8-torsors*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), 425–455.

Institute of Mathematics Simion Stoilow of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei 21, RO-010702 Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail address: Beli.Constantin@imar.ro

Institute of Mathematics Simion Stoilow of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei 21, RO-010702 Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail address: pgille@imar.ro

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL, Station 8, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
E-mail address: ting-yu.lee@epfl.ch